

Attempt to illustrate the **evidence-hypothesis model** as it might be put in use in a BetterGedcom

### Source

Source: Phone conversation  
Transcription/Note:  
Mr A married in 1912

Source: Copy of marriage certificate  
Transcription/Note:  
...Mr Aardvark, age 30, of Newtown married Miss Take, age 25, of Oldtown on 1913-02-02 in Newtown.

### Evidence

derived after analysis directly from sources

Event: Marriage  
date: 1912  
role groom; role wife

Event: Marriage  
date: 1913-02-02  
place: Newtown  
role groom; role wife

Person:  
name: Mr A

Person:

Person:  
name: Mr Aardvark  
sex: m  
residence: Newtown  
+date: 1913-02-02  
age: 30  
+date: 1913-02-02

Person:  
name: Miss Take  
sex: f  
residence: Oldtown  
+date: 1913-02-02  
age: 25  
+date: 1913-02-02

### Hypothesis

derived from evidence and other hypotheses

*Only new or changed assertions are recorded here, the rest is still in the previous »Persons«.*

Person:  
sex: m  
+note: derived from »Mr«  
+confidence: high

Person:  
sex: f  
+note: derived from role  
+confidence: high

Person:  
birth: about 1883  
+note: calculated from age at marriage

Person:  
birth: about 1888  
+note: calculated from age at marriage

Person:  
note: I think these are the same person because of ...

Person:  
note: I think these are the same person because of ...

Event: Marriage  
role groom; role wife  
date: 1913-02-02  
+note: The source is more believable  
place: Newtown

*Would there be a new event, or some way to note the »preferred« event?*

