Level 3

P3-1
C: green

Here a conflict is solved by »copying up« the preferred value. A: apple; B: tasty; C: green

Reasoning

Level 2

Reasons for the combining of the lower Persons, also who did the combining. Probably inside of Person Record, could have links to other Records.

Reasoning

P2-1
no PFACTs

This just assembles the PFACTs of the L1 Persons: A: apple; B: tasty; C: red

The same Person in a one-level (conclusion-only) model may look like this:

Level 1

Role

Role

E1
some PFACTs, Dates, Places, Notes…

P1-1
some PFACTs

P1-2
A: apple
B: tasty

P1-3
A: apple
C: red

P1-4
A: apple
C: green

P1
A: apple; B: tasty; C: green
Reasons may be in here, too Conflict might be mentioned in a Note

The links to the Source Record have details like page-number, and anything you might want to have in a citation

Level 0

S1

S2

S3

S1

S2

S3

Sources might be nested in a tree themselves, or just refer to a repository.

The sources are the same, the PFACTs are the same as in P3-1. Each PFACT is sourced seperately, »A« could have three sources.
What's lost is a record of the conflict, and the full and true contents of the sources (=evidence), e.g. the second PFACT of Source 2.

Multi-Level vs. One-Level Model